



COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE- Summary Minutes

Zoom Webinar

August 20, 2020, 6:00 PM

CAC Members Present: Rick Duncan, Louisa de Heer, Kate Perle, Ed McMahon, Ann Vaughn, Cameron Ewing, Hans Wittig, Jon Belcher, Mary Leontovich

Community Members Present: Brett Golonka, Taylor Griggs

Staff Present: Terri Harding, Chelsea Hartman, Elena Domingo

Agenda Items

1. Standing Items

- Introductions: What's your favorite summer activity?
- Zoom meeting protocol/ground rules
- Agenda review – no one had any changes to the agenda.

2. Public Comments

- Brett Golonka – introduced themselves as Chamber of Commerce staff member
- Taylor Griggs – introduced themselves as Eugene weekly staff member

3. HB 2001 CAC Subcommittee Update

- Thanked Jon for writing and sending out the HB 2001 letter.
- Terri asked if someone could forward the letter to staff so that we'd be in the know.
- The HB 2001 email to DLCD went out Monday morning and they confirmed receipt and that it would be passed on before today's Rulemaking Advisory Committee meeting.

4. Discuss Draft Action Plan Intro

- Chelsea shared the Draft Action Plan Intro and asked for high-level questions and discussion, saying that CAC will have time following the meeting to provide input or detailed review of the draft. Staff would like to share the Draft Action Plan Intro at the September 28th Planning Commission work session and other fall updates to elected bodies.
- Chelsea explained how we're planning to group the actions in the Action Plan.
- Talked about how the land use working group priority spreadsheet was used to establish current priorities. Chelsea said actions on the spreadsheet that had above a 6.5 were ranked as high priority as well as some corridor study actions – said she would send out that spreadsheet if people would like to see the correlation.

- Talked about process and how it's been confusing with so many documents to understand how everything relates. The Action Plan Intro was helpful to figuring out how all the work fits together, but it would be helpful to have a graphic to show documents and the weight of things and how they relate.
- Discussed plan implementation and how RRCO and SCCO will represent the neighborhood plan after the adoption process and if they've talked about what they want their role to be. Talked about when would be appropriate and realistic to have those conversations with RRCO and SCCO before moving forward.
- Talked about neighborhood organization outreach and how fewer people have been attending meetings on Zoom. Said using the neighborhood plan IP list would be a good way to let people know we're moving forward and idea of what will or won't be implemented with plan adoption and what needs to get advocated for moving forward.
- Mentioned funding being a challenge in the past for the neighborhood organizations because they can have great ideas but they won't move forward without funding. Neighborhood matching grants are competitive and RRCO has set up their own bank account for fundraising and projects in the past.
- Discussed the possibility of creating groups of interested people who may only want to advocate for particular elements of the plan or particular goals and whether there's a way to start identifying those people, possibly on the website like clicking if you'd like to join an affinity group, for example gardening or natural habitats, without getting into the smaller details of the plan.
- Said there will be a group activated regarding greenway issues soon.
- Chelsea said staff hope to use the Engage Eugene platform soon as more of an engagement/call to action tool versus reading a lot of information and we also have Julie Fischer with Cogito as an outreach resource.

5. Discuss Draft Adoption Package Outline

- Chelsea gave background about the Draft Adoption Package Outline -the included code amendments were pulled from the code and zone change priorities and feasibility with plan adoption was considered. CAC should consider whether there are any priority code amendments that aren't captured and/or actions that aren't listed as a priority but should be. The priority code amendments will give direction to staff to develop code language related to these priorities. Staff will work with goalkeepers to develop these ideas more, they're high-level right now.
- Discussion about how the outline mostly seems to relate to the Corridor Study and whether actions can be added. Talked about a way to emphasize that the whole neighborhood plan is part of the adoption package in the adoption package outline.
- Brought up transitions from R-1 and R-2 and the reason they weren't included as code amendments in the initial adoption package is because they'll likely be implemented by HB 2001.
- Talked about action 11.4.1 related to green infrastructure and financial incentives and multiple people said this should be listed as a priority, it was an action that was developed late.

- Reminder that the Action Plan will include all the actions, whether a priority code amendment or not.
- Land Use Update – Jon and Cameron are reviewing land use actions over the next few weeks, including some new legal review comments and items they'd like to address before the community organizations review these actions.
- Talked about timing for getting public feedback and that approval moving forward with the current draft actions shouldn't just come from the RRCO and SCCO boards, the general membership should be involved in the recommendations as well.

Taxlots for Potential Rezoning

- Chelsea shared map of taxlots of C-2 and R-2 properties outside the Corridor Study area for potential rezoning. The hope with potentially including these properties is to get better development and design standards as part of the corridor study spread out to strategic areas in the neighborhood.
- Talked about current construction or development on these properties and what rezoning would mean for them, would influence future uses.
- Properties should be connected to transit, but concerns for pedestrian safety between transit and destination, for example in large parking lots. Should be taken into consideration when designing LTD EmX lines.
- Asked for update on Corridor Study. Chelsea said a draft Corridor Study code will be shared to CAC subcommittee by early next week and will be meeting to discuss the draft code on September 1st from 3:30-5pm. If others are interested in reviewing the draft or coming to the meeting, let staff know.
- Wanted to consider how it's less expensive for non-profits to buy and set up a tiny home village on R-1 property than Corridor Mixed Use and don't want to be creating barriers for things we might want to happen. There is also an option to rezone these properties to Corridor Residential instead of Corridor Mixed Use.
- Considered whether we should start contacting these property owners about potential rezoning to give them information about the Corridor Study and listen to their concerns.
- CAC agreed that thoughtfully reaching out to property owners with introductory information and getting their thoughts about potential rezoning seems reasonable. Staff will draft the outreach and send to CAC before moving forward with sending to property owners.

6. Review Topic Area Edits from SCCO/RCCO

- SCCO edits for Parks and Natural Resources are done, but need help figuring out the best way to get the edits to the group.
- Looked at Action Plan Highlights and suggested change regarding separating Beltline and River Road. No one was opposed to the change.
- Reminder that CAC agreed the word traffic should be taken out of Goal 5.
- Talked about train crossings at Irving and NW Expressway and whether that's within the neighborhood or an action item.

- Action 5.4.6 was a suggested addition from SCCO regarding North/South traffic flow options in Santa Clara. Ann will follow up with staff on the rewording and share with CAC.
- No major substantive changes were submitted from SCCO to Economic Development or Community topic areas. No one objected to any of the SCCO edits before sending to RRCO for review.
- Kate summarized CAC homework: determine if any priority shifting needs to be done with the Draft Adoption Package outline because we want it to go live in late September.
- Discussed meeting with the Land Use working group before September and before taking land use actions to neighborhood orgs for review. Mary, Louisa, and Ed would like to be included on emails related to the Land Use working group.

Adjourn.