



City of Eugene

POLICE COMMISSION

The Police Commission recommends to the City Council, City Manager, police department, and the people, the resources, preferred policing alternatives, policies, and citizen responsibilities needed to achieve a safe community. We strive to create a climate of mutual respect and partnership between the community and the police department that helps to achieve safety, justice and freedom for all people in Eugene.

Police Commissioners: Bob Walker, Chair; Tamara Miller, Vice-Chair; Mike Clark; Edward Goehring; Jim Garner; Jesse Lohrke; James Manning; George Rode; Claire Syrett; Joe Tyndall; Bill Whalen

Police Commission Meeting
April 9, 2015
5:30 – 8:30

Item	Starting – Ending	Minutes
Review Agenda	5:30 – 5:35	5 min
Public Comments	5:35 – 5:50	15 min
Commissioner Comments / Responses	5:50 – 6:05	15 min
Review Minutes	6:05 – 6:10	5 min
Citizens Filming Officers Policy Review	6:10 – 6:45	35 min
BREAK	6:45 – 6:55	10 min
Chief's Presentation	6:55 – 7:10	15 min
Deadly Use of Force Training Video	7:10 – 7:45	35 min
Appoint Police Commission Candidate Interview Committee	7:45 – 8:00	15 min
Work Plan/ Retreat Information Overview	8:00 – 8:15	15 min
Commissioner Closing Comments	8:15 – 8:30	15 min

UPCOMING Police Commission Meetings:

May 14, 2015

NOTE – Retreat set for Saturday, May 2, 2015, 9 a.m. to finish – Washington Park Community Center

City of Eugene Police Commission

March 12, 2015

DRAFT Meeting Notes DRAFT

Please note the official full record is contained in the video recording [here](#).

The meeting convened at 5:30 P.M.

Members in attendance: Bob Walker, chair; Tamara Miller, vice chair; Jesse Lohrke; James Manning; George Rode; Joe Tyndall; Bill Whalen; Edward Goehring; Claire Syrett

Members absent: Mike Clark; Jim Garner; Raquel Hecht

Staff in attendance: Chief Pete Kerns, Asst. Chief Karl Durr, Sgt. Matt Lowen, Sgt. Demian San Miguel, Mark Gissiner, Leia Pitcher, Jeremy Cleversey

Agenda Review

Mr. Cleversey reviewed the agenda and announced the following: Ms. Hecht will join the commission in April 2015, Mr. Garner is out sick, Ms. Syrett's response to video public records requests was in the Friday newsletter, and the Deadly Use of Force Educational Opportunity would be on March 15, 2015.

Public Comments

Carol Berg-Caldwell expressed thanks for the consideration of a policy for citizens filming officers, and mentioned a concern that citizens' cameras could be seized if criminal activity is captured in the recording.

Jana Thrift wanted to express a concern if new laws were being created/considered that would obstruct the constitutional right to film independent media.

Tito Chavez-Nguyen expressed the importance of transparency to minorities and suggested the best way for EPD to meet its missions of establish public trust and leveraging technology is to allow citizens to legally do things without imposing restrictions. He noted that camera technology is not just for police but for the citizens as well.

Commissioner Comments

Ms. Syrett clarified that public comment is always welcome and that today was not a special exception, she also noted that the Police Commission is not looking to create a policy to control a citizen's ability to film.

Mr. Gissiner, Police Auditor, wanted the Police Commission to have all of the information when considering the 'Citizens Filming Officers Policy.' He provided additional details to cases that the Police Commission had reviewed when considering the creation of a 'Citizens Filming Officers Policy.' Mr. Gissiner expressed that his office and the Civilian Review Board (CRB) take these cases very seriously and does a lot of work on them.

Mr. Lohrke asked Mr. Gissiner how he will consider a potential policy violation that specifies citizens will move back a reasonable distance.

Mr. Gissiner responded that it is on a case by case basis.

Mr. Rode said that the 'Citizens Filming Officers Policy' is strictly for the safety of the officer and the videographer. The intent is not to violate the rights of those who want to film.

Ms. Miller stated she attended the appointment of Raquel Hecht as Police Commissioner.

Mr. Walker stated we are here to make things better for everyone. Not restrict rights. Cautioned there is a small amount of time to complete a work plan.

Mr. Tyndall said he pushed to have a recording police policy. The intent was to remove the grey area, and accomplish two goals: One is to remove the ambiguity of whether or not citizens have a right to film officers and the second is to give guidance to the officers about how to handle these citations.

Mr. Whalen pointed out that EPD created a policy that states three times that it is the citizens right to film police.

Mr. Goehring clarified that ‘CCTV Policy’ and ‘Citizens Filming Officers Policy’ are separate ideas and neither are intended to impact media or citizens’ rights to film officers. He suggests a cooperative solution between civil rights organizations and beat officers to reduce the misinformation and get on the same page.

Mr. Manning believed that in order to get rid of the fear around citizen filming, we need a starting point. He suggests that what EPD has done in creating this policy was create a starting block that he points out is not law.

Ms. Syrett responded to Ms. Miller’s comment about Raquel Hecht’s appointment thanking Ms. Miller and Chief Kerns for attending.

Approval of Minutes

MOTION AND ACTION: Ms. Miller moved and Mr. Tyndall seconded approval of the minutes with typo correction of ‘to’ to ‘too’ on last page. Approved unanimously.

Citizens Filming Officers Policy Review

Sgt. Lowen reviewed the policy and responded to questions.

Commissioners suggested policy adjustments:

- Section 415.3 - Add language encompassing of all image capturing technologies – No objections
- Section 415.1 - Add language to include the safety of the recording public – Unanimous consent

MOTION AND ACTION: Mr. Tyndall moved and Ms. Syrett seconded for discussion of the change of the second sentence to be the first in 415.2. Second part of the motion was the discussion to remove the words public spaces. Mr. Tyndall, Mr. Lohrke for, all others opposed. Motion failed (Aye – Tyndall, Lohrke and Nay – Manning, Syrett, Rode, Miller, Walker, Whalen and Abstain – Goehring)

Mr. Tyndall requested to have the floor after the motion and Mr. Walker clarified that once the motion is voted on a commissioner’s time is up but promised to get back to Mr. Tyndall on a second go-round.

Commissioners suggested additional policy adjustments:

- Section 415.5.2 – Requested the last sentence of the first paragraph be rewritten for clarity.
- Section 415.5.3 – Needed consistency/clarity requested: does a person need to be arrested to seize a phone?

MOTION AND ACTION: Mr. Lohrke moved and Mr. Tyndall seconded to remove “in a public space” from the last sentence of 415.2. Open for discussion. Approved (Aye – Syrett, Lohrke, Rode, Tyndall, Whalen, Goehring and Nay – Manning, Miller, Walker)

Commissioners suggested additional policy adjustments:

- Section 415.4 – Requested the last paragraph with the four enumerated points be split off as section ‘C’.
- Section 415.4 (c.1.) – Suggested grammar adjustments.
- Section 415.5.2 (b.) – Clarified the word ‘As’ should be ‘Ask.’
- New title suggestions: Safe Interactions with Citizens Recording Police, Recording of Police Activities by the Community
- Please clarify one way or the other if a Supervisor must be present to seize a phone.

Mr. Walker requested that the adjusted policy be returned to the Police Commission for review in April.

UofO/EPD Intergovernmental Agreement

Chief Kerns responded to questions about how UofO/ EPD are communicating arrests that occur off campus.

Break

Chief's Presentation

Chief Kerns reviewed his report and responded to questions.

CCTV Proposal Discussion Continuation

MOTION AND ACTION: Mr. Walker moved and Mr. Rode seconded that the CCTV Proposal Discussion be removed from being tabled for discussion. Approved unanimously.

Asst. Chief Durr reviewed proposal and policy adjustments and responded to questions.

Commissioners provided input and concerns about the CCTV pilot program.

Chief responded to two concerns:

- He would be glad to change the CCTV policy title to “Public Safety Camera System Pilot” and add a date range for when the policy goes into effect and when the policy will no longer be in effect.
- EPD will include language that states that the CCTV pilot cameras won't be used in conjunction with facial recognition.

MOTION AND ACTION: Mr. Rode moved and Mr. Manning seconded to approve the CCTV Pilot Project as presented. Approved (Aye – Manning, Rode, Miller, Walker, Whalen and Nay – Syrett, Lohrke and Abstain – Tyndall, Goehring)

Vehicle Pursuit Policy Revisions Implemented in Training

Sgt. San Miguel presented and responded to question.

Chief Kerns pointed out that we often make apprehensions after terminating pursuits.

Commission Comments

Members of the Commission offered closing comments.

The meeting adjourned at 8:23 P.M.

Notes taken by Jeremy Cleversey



MEMORANDUM

Date: March 31, 2015
To: Eugene Police Commission
From: Asst. Chief James K. Durr 
Subject: Amendments to *Policy 606 – Public Safety Camera System Pilot*

On March 12, 2015 the CCTV Pilot Program and *Policy 606 – Public Safety Camera System Pilot* were approved by the Police Commission. Although this topic is not on the April agenda, Chief Pete Kerns requested that a new draft be returned to the Commission so they could see amendments made in response to concerns identified in March.

Adjustments made:

- The word “Pilot” was added to the title, making it “Public Safety Camera System Pilot.”
- Blank fields for date ranges were added to the policy in §606.1, to be filled prior to beginning the pilot. In addition, language was added to specify the pilot’s duration of 18 months.
- The last paragraph of section §606.3.1 specifies that the CCTV pilot cameras won’t be used in conjunction with facial recognition software.

These changes have been made to the document included in the April packet.



POLICY
606

EFFECTIVE
DATE
Draft 033015

Eugene Police Department



Public Safety Camera System Pilot

606.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The City of Eugene operates a public safety camera system for the purpose of creating a safer environment for all those who live, work, and visit the City. This policy provides guidelines for the operation of the cameras, the purpose of their use and the storage of captured images.

The pilot project involving the use of public safety cameras will be effective for a duration of 18 months, from [DATE] to [DATE].

606.2 POLICY

Cameras are strategically placed throughout the City at the direction, or with the approval of the Chief of Police for the purpose of assisting the Eugene Police Department to detect and deter crime, to safeguard against potential threats to public safety, to manage emergency response situations to natural and man-made disasters, and to assist other City officials to aid in the enhancement of services provided to the community.

Public safety cameras are a crime prevention tool and can assist with evidence gathering and scene reconstruction. Public safety cameras are also a key resource to assist in securing vulnerable sites by providing real time monitoring and early detection of unusual or criminal activity allowing for a more efficient and timely response by law enforcement and emergency response personnel.

The cameras only record images and do not record sound. Recordings may be used for a variety of purposes including criminal investigations, reducing crime problems and monitoring of behavior activity. In addition, the public safety camera system helps to provide the following benefits:

- a. Assistance in identifying, apprehending and prosecuting offenders.
- b. Assistance in gathering evidence for criminal and civil court actions.
- c. Assists emergency service personnel in maintaining public order through deterrence.
- d. Assistance in monitoring pedestrian and vehicle traffic activity.
- e. Assistance improving the general environment and security on public streets.
- f. Assistance in providing effective services to community.

606.3 PROCEDURE

The following procedures have been established for the effective operation of the public safety camera system.

606.3.1 CAMERA SYSTEM MONITORING

Video images from the cameras are transmitted to monitors installed at designated locations within the Eugene Police Department such as the Watch Commander's office, or a Communications Center dispatch console. When there is an in-progress call in the vicinity of one of the camera locations, an on duty watch commander may authorize Eugene Police Department sworn personnel or communications specialists to selectively view the appropriate camera and relay any available information to responding units. The on duty watch commander is authorized to adjust the cameras traverse, elevation, and focus in such a manner as to most effectively view a particular area for any legitimate public safety purpose.

The recorded video from cameras may be accessed by other City employees with the authorization of the Chief or his/her designee, or other law enforcement agencies (e.g., Oregon Liquor Control Commission, Lane County Sheriff's Office) for official business only with the approval of the watch commander.

The Public Safety Camera System will not be used in conjunction with any facial recognition software.

606.3.2 TRAINING

Personnel involved in monitoring the Public Safety Camera System will be appropriately trained on the technology and policy regarding the system.

606.3.3 PROHIBITED ACTIVITY

Video monitoring will be conducted in a professional, ethical and legal manner. The public safety camera system will not be used to invade the privacy of individuals, or to look into private areas or areas where the reasonable expectation of privacy exists. All reasonable efforts will be taken to protect these privacy rights. Video monitoring shall not be used to harass, intimidate or discriminate against any individual or group.

606.3.4 NOTIFICATION TO THE PUBLIC

Areas that are under the surveillance of the Public Safety Camera System will be conspicuously marked in order to notify the public that the area is under video surveillance.

606.4 MEDIA STORAGE

All media will be stored in a secure area with access restricted to authorized persons only. The system will store the images from every camera which are recorded throughout the twenty-four hour period of every day of the week. All of the images from every recording device for a particular 24-hour period, beginning at 12:00 a.m. and ending at 11:59:59 p.m. will be referred to as the Daily Recording.

The Daily Recording will be stored for a period of not less than 30 days and thereafter may be erased if not otherwise required for any related investigation, claim or other official need (Oregon Administrative Rules 166-200-0100 (68)). The system will be configured to automatically purge and write over any Daily Recordings, not flagged for court or further investigation, more than 60 days old.

606.5 RETREIVAL OF RECORDED INFORMATION

Authorization to research and retrieve recorded information is restricted to the Chief of Police or his or her designee. These individuals will be authorized to provide video images for investigative purposes to a Eugene Police Department employee who has completed a video

request form in accordance with chain of custody procedures established by the Eugene Police Department.

606.5.1 PUBLIC AND OTHER AGENCY REQUESTS

Requests for recorded video images from other law enforcement agencies or through the submission of a court order or subpoena will be promptly submitted to the City Attorney, or his designee. Upon the receipt of any such request, it will also be promptly submitted to the Communications Operations Manager, or designee who will promptly research the request and submit the results of such search through the Chief of Police to the City Attorney's office for further handling. Every reasonable effort should be made to preserve the data requested until the request has been finally processed by the City Attorney's office.

Public and media requests for video images captured by public safety cameras will be made available only to the extent required by law. As provided by Oregon public records law, video footage that is evidence in an ongoing police investigation will generally not be disclosed to the public where a disposition has not been reached, absent such disclosure being compelled by a court or other governmental entity of competent jurisdiction.

606.6 ANNUAL REVIEW OF CAMERA SYSTEM

The Chief of Police or his/her designee will conduct an annual review the agency's use of the public safety camera system. The annual review will include an inventory of video monitoring installations, date of installation, summary of their purpose, adherence to this policy and any proposed policy changes. The results of each review will be documented and maintained by the Chief of Police or his/her designee and other applicable advisory bodies. Any concerns or deviations from this policy will be addressed promptly and effectively.



MEMORANDUM

Date: March 31, 2015
To: Eugene Police Commission
From: Sergeant Matt Lowen #225 
Subject: Amendments to *Policy 415 – Recording Police Activities by the Community*

On March 12, 2015 the Eugene Police Commission members requested the following amendments be made to *Policy 415 – Recording Police Activities by the Community*:

- Language added to §415.1 underscoring the requirement for the policy is to provide for the safety of the community.
- Removing the words “...in a public space” at the end of §415.2.
- Language added to §415.3 to encompass all image recording technology.
- Rewriting the sentence that appears in §415.4(c)(1) to read “Any private property upon which the recording party would be trespassing.”
- Rewriting §415.5.2 to make clear that an arrest of a recording party *is not* necessary in order for the recording device of a recording party to be seized lawfully and in accordance with policy.
- Clarifies §415.5.3 to require a supervisor to respond to any scene where a recording device is to be seized.

All these changes were made to the document included in the April packet.



POLICY
415

EFFECTIVE
DATE
Draft 022615

Eugene Police Department



Recording Police Activities by the Community

415.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The Eugene Police Department preserves and protects the rights guaranteed to its community members by the Federal and State Constitutions. Eugene's community members have a First Amendment right to video and audio record members of the Eugene Police Department while they are conducting their official duties, so long as the recording does not create a legitimate and articulable concern for officer safety, or a hindrance to successful and timely resolution of the police matter being handled. This policy provides guidance to department members on how to preserve the rights of community members who are recording police, and in rare instances where the recording member of the community violates any of the reasonable restrictions placed upon their ability to record police activities, the policy informs officers and supervisors how to successfully deal with an arrest and/or seizure of a recording device.

The overarching purpose of this policy is to provide for the unfettered exercise of rights guaranteed to Eugene's community, while ensuring the safety of the recording party, victims, witnesses, suspects and the officers.

415.2 POLICY

Members of the public, including media representatives, have an unambiguous First Amendment right to record officers in public places, as long as their actions do not interfere with the officer's duties or the safety of officers or others. Officers should assume that they are being recorded at all times when on duty.

415.3 DEFINITIONS

Recording: Capturing of images, audio, or both, by means of a video camera, cell phone, audio recorder, or other image capturing device.

Media: The storage source for visual or audio recordings, whether by film, analog, or digital means.

415.4 RECORDING POLICE BY COMMUNITY MEMBERS

Persons who are lawfully in public spaces or locations where they have a legal right to be present—such as their home, place of business, or the common areas of public and private facilities and buildings, city streets and sidewalks—have a First Amendment right to record things in plain sight or hearing, to include police activity. Members of the Eugene Police Department may not threaten, intimidate, or otherwise discourage or interfere with the recording of police activities. However, the right to record is not absolute and is subject to legitimate and reasonable legal restrictions, as follows:

- a. Officers may offer a reasonable distance that must be maintained from the scene(s) where enforcement or related police duties are being recorded. This distance is assigned by the officer on scene and will consider the totality of the circumstances regarding the particular police activity.
- b. Persons engaged in recording activities may not obstruct police actions. The fact that recording and or overt verbal criticism, insults, or name-calling may be annoying, it does not of itself justify an officer taking corrective or enforcement action or ordering that recording be stopped, as this is an infringement on an individual's right to protected speech. Examples of actions that obstruct police activities include, but are not limited to:
 1. Interference through direct physical intervention or breaching the reasonable distance established by the officer, thereby dividing his or her attention to the matter at hand.
 2. Intentional and persistent attempts to tamper with a witness who is being engaged by the police.
 3. Repeated attempts to engage an officer with questions or interruptions which divide the attention of the officer.
 4. Impeding the movement of emergency equipment, personnel or flow of civilian traffic or pedestrians.
 5. Any action by the recording party that jeopardizes the safety of an officer, victim, witness, suspect, or third party.
- c. Recording parties are not entitled to enter certain locations they are prohibited from entering simply to record police activities. Examples of locations recording parties are prohibited from entering or remaining upon include, but are not limited to:
 1. Any private property upon which the recording party would be trespassing;
 2. Entry into another person's private dwelling, garage, storehouse, etc.;
 3. Entry into an established crime scene;
 4. Entry into an area or building not accessible to the general public.

415.5 ARREST BASED UPON RESTRICTIONS

415.5.1 ARREST

Arrest of any person by a member of the Eugene Police Department for violating the reasonable restrictions placed upon their Constitutional rights will be based upon an objective, reasonable and articulable violation of the law (Interfering with Police, Trespassing, etc.) If it is reasonable and appropriate, any person who is violating the foregoing restrictions should be informed they are engaging in a prohibited activity and given an opportunity to locate an acceptable and legal alternative prior to being arrested. Nothing in this policy suggests an officer *must* warn a person participating in a prohibited activity.

415.5.2 SEIZURE OF RECORDING DEVICES SUBSEQUENT TO ARREST

If an officer has a reasonable and articulable belief that a recording made of a scene or event has been captured by a recording party, and that recording contains the evidence of a crime, the officer may seize the recording whether or not the person has been the subject of an arrest. Officers may not order or coerce a recording party to show them recordings that have been made of official department action. Officers should consider that unless there is probable cause to believe evidence of a serious crime is contained in a recording, seizure may not be necessary. Nothing in this policy prohibits or negates the seizure of a recording device if the crime captured is subsequently not deemed 'serious'.

If, however, there is probable cause to believe there is evidence of a serious crime contained in a recording device the officer should do the following:

- a. Immediately request a supervisor to the scene.
- b. Ask the recording party in possession of the recording device if he or she will consent to voluntarily and temporarily relinquish the recording device or media so that it may be viewed and copied.
- c. If the recording party refuses, and it is objectively likely that the recording device contains evidence of a crime and that the recording could be destroyed, tampered with, or altered before a search warrant affidavit can be obtained the officer is may seize the recording device so that a warrant may be obtained authorizing the officer to view and duplicate the suspected recording.
- d. There is no exception to warrant requirement following an arrest where a recording device is seized **except** in *exigent situations where it is objectively reasonable to believe that immediate viewing of recordings is necessary to prevent death or serious bodily harm of another before a warrant can be authorized*. Only then can the recording device or media may be confiscated and viewed without a warrant.
- e. Whenever a recording device or media is seized without a warrant or obtained by consent, the seized item shall be held in police custody no longer than reasonably necessary for the police, acting with due diligence, to obtain a warrant. The device must be returned at the earliest possible time and its owner/operator given instruction on how it can be retrieved. In all cases property receipts shall be provided to the owner.

415.5.3 SUPERVISOR RESPONSIBILITIES

A supervisor will be summoned and respond to any scene where a recording device will be seized. The supervisor will examine the scene and facts of the case and determine whether or not the seizure is appropriate.

Chief Kerns' Report to the Police Commission



March 2015

Chief's Activities

- Town and Gown Committee
- KUGN Monthly Radio Interview
- Capital Improvement Program Public Hearing
- Eugene-Springfield Leadership Academy
- Teen Court Hearing
- UOPD Assistant Chief Interview Panel
- Visit by the New Council of the Mexican Consulate
- Chamber's Economic Forecast
- Springfield Police Department Swearing-In Ceremony

In the News



★ **Stop! Look! And Listen! Eugene Safety Town A Child Accident Prevention Program**

★ **Single Vehicle Roll-over DUII Crash**

★ **Princess for a Day Event**

★ **Sex Abuse in the Third Degree**

★ **Strange Prize Scam—Victim was called by “Police” from a “spoofed” number**

Looking Ahead

- 12 police officer candidates are currently in the background phase
- **Second round of police officer interviews are under way for April**
- Two Animal Welfare Unit candidates are in the background phase
- **The Forensic Analyst position has been filled**
- 13 call taker candidates are moving to the background phase
- **State's Fallen Law Enforcement Memorial—
May 5, 2015**


March 24, 2015

- One and Four Week Slides
- Area of Focus-Spring Break Operation
- Offender Focus
- Monthly Slides Updated
- Top Arrestees/CFS Locations
- Washburn Park
- Transient Issues
- Free Speech Plaza

One Week City-Wide


PROTECT. SERVE. CARE.

DLP - CITY WIDE 1 ONE WEEK



- THEFT FROM VEHICLE
- UNAUTHORIZED USE OF VEHICLE
- BURGLARY


	LAST WK	THIS WK	TOTAL	%TOTAL
BURG	31	21	52	50%
ROBB	1		1	1%
THEFT	20	19	39	37%
UUV	3	8	11	10%
UEMV	2		2	2%
TOTAL	57	48	105	100%




Four Week City-Wide

PROTECT. SERVE. CARE.

DLP - CITY WIDE FOUR WEEK




	BEAT 1	BEAT 2	BEAT 3	BEAT 4	BEAT 5	BEAT 6	TOTAL
BURG	17	24	8	15	22	3	89
ROBB	0	1	1	1	3	0	6
THEFT	14	30	12	11	16	3	86
UUV	4	6	1	3	6	0	20
UEMV	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
TOTAL	36	63	22	30	47	7	205



Case Conversion: Jan 2013 - Feb 2015

PROTECT.SERVE.CARE.



Highlights:

- There was an increase in City Wide CFS from 2011 through 2013, with a 3% decrease from 2013 to 2014
- There has been a 1,023 increase in CFS comparing Jan and Feb of 2014 and 2015
- Case Conversion rates increased for the months of April, May, and September through November

City-Wide	2014-13	2015-14	2015-13	Downtown	2014-13	2015-14	2015-13
Month	Conv Diff	Conv Diff	Conv Diff	Month	Conv Diff	Conv Diff	Conv Diff
1	-0.60%	-1.91%	-2.51%	1	-2.16%	2.28%	0.12%
2	-1.93%	-0.45%	-2.39%	2	-0.82%	0.52%	-0.30%
3	-0.21%			3	-2.07%		
4	1.03%			4	2.25%		
5	0.73%			5	1.41%		
6	-1.82%			6	-4.71%		
7	-2.47%			7	-1.80%		
8	0.43%			8	1.69%		
9	0.50%			9	1.91%		
10	1.58%			10	1.27%		
11	1.68%			11	2.05%		
12	-0.56%			12	0.25%		

Downtown CFS: February 2015

PROTECT. SERVE. CARE.



Downtown Area: W 5th Avenue to W 15th Avenue,
Lincoln Street to High Street

Top Dispatched*	Count	Top Self Initiated*	Count
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	82	PERSON STOP	223
ASSIST PUBLIC- POLICE	49	TRAFFIC STOP	112
CHECK WELFARE	48	CRIMINAL TRESPASS	18
DISPUTE	37	WARRANT SERVICE	15
THEFT	37	FOLLOW UP	15
BEAT INFORMATION	31	DISORDERLY SUBJECT	9
DISORDERLY SUBJECT	27	ASSIST PUBLIC- POLICE	8
SUBJECT DOWN	22	DISPUTE	7
THEFT FROM VEHICLE	22	FOOT PATROL	6
SUSPICIOUS CONDITIONS	19	THEFT	3

Top Dispatched/Self Initiated CFS represent 71% of Downtown activity.

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
Sun	17	12	11	3	2	1	0	0	2	2	5	9	3	5	5	6	6	5	5	7	2	4	4	8
Mon	6	0	3	2	1	1	1	8	4	3	7	6	8	11	14	9	5	4	6	5	2	6	3	1
Tue	3	1	1	2	1	2	2	5	9	12	15	10	9	23	6	14	11	5	4	13	5	5	4	4
Wed	2	3	2	4	4	5	2	5	6	5	8	17	13	13	13	10	12	14	8	6	3	6	8	6
Thu	2	3	4	2	0	1	2	13	6	7	8	8	10	18	8	11	10	8	10	8	4	7	5	9
Fri	7	5	3	2	0	5	1	4	4	9	3	8	11	13	12	10	7	14	9	6	12	12	14	13
Sat	8	12	12	4	1	0	2	4	4	4	10	7	6	7	4	7	7	7	8	13	16	9	18	16

*Excludes Transports and Patrol Checks

Top Dispatched CFS: Crimes

*CAD Activity: Rolling 12 Months

4550 W 11TH AVE: (WALMART)	CFS
SHOPLIFT 3050 PROGRAM	117
THEFT	99
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	28
HIT AND RUN	23
DISPUTE	22
ALL OTHER	219
Total	508

100 W 10TH AVE: (EUGENE LIBRARY)	CFS
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	49
THEFT	34
TRANSPORT	25
ASSIST PUBLIC- POLICE	15
DISORDERLY SUBJECT	12
ALL OTHER	131
Total	266

145 E 18TH AVE: (SAFEWAY)	CFS
THEFT	59
SHOPLIFT 3050 PROGRAM	46
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	18
SHOPLIFT	16
BEAT INFORMATION	10
ALL OTHER	111
Total	260

240 WASHINGTON ST: (WJ SKATEPARK)	CFS
BEAT INFORMATION	32
DISPUTE	18
DISORDERLY SUBJECT	17
DRUG INFO	16
THEFT	15
ALL OTHER	140
Total	238

293 VALLEY RIVER CENTER: (VALLEY RIVER CENTER)	CFS
HIT AND RUN	32
THEFT	24
THEFT FROM VEHICLE	11
ATTEMPT TO LOCATE	10
BEAT INFORMATION	10
ALL OTHER	151
Total	238

3333 W 11TH AVE: (FRED MEYER)	CFS
THEFT	35
SHOPLIFT 3050 PROGRAM	31
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	16
HIT AND RUN	15
BEAT INFORMATION	12
ALL OTHER	128
Total	237

90 COMMONS DR: (STADIUM PARK APARTMENTS)	CFS
THEFT FROM VEHICLE	22
BEAT INFORMATION	20
LOUD NOISE	20
THEFT	16
BURGLARY	15
ALL OTHER	117
Total	210

4275 BARGER DR: (WINCO FOODS)	CFS
SHOPLIFT 3050 PROGRAM	54
HIT AND RUN	19
THEFT	14
ANIMAL CRUELTY	13
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	13
ALL OTHER	93
Total	206

1080 WILLAMETTE ST: (LTD EUGENE STATION)	CFS
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	23
ASSIST PUBLIC- POLICE	20
THEFT	18
DISORDERLY SUBJECT	14
DISPUTE	13
ALL OTHER	115
Total	203

200 DAY ISLAND RD: (ALTON BAKER PARK)	CFS
ILLEGAL CAMPING	15
DISPUTE	11
DOG AT LARGE	9
THEFT	9
BEAT INFORMATION	8
ALL OTHER	110
Total	162

PROTECT.SERVE.CARE.

Top Dispatched CFS: Services

*CAD Activity: Rolling 12 Months

1255 HILYARD ST: (SACRED HEART UNIVERSITY DISTRI)	CFS
TRANSPORT	760
ASSIST PUBLIC- POLICE	66
DISORDERLY SUBJECT	35
FOLLOW UP	24
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	22
ALL OTHER	140
Total	1047

1542 W 1ST AVE: (EUGENE MISSION)	CFS
TRANSPORT	98
ASSIST PUBLIC- POLICE	70
THEFT	13
CHECK WELFARE	11
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	11
ALL OTHER	61
Total	264

341 E 12TH AVE: (WHITE BIRD CLINIC)	CFS
TRANSPORT	476
ASSIST PUBLIC- POLICE	272
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	92
DISORDERLY SUBJECT	34
CHECK WELFARE	28
ALL OTHER	134
Total	1036

1420 W 1ST AVE: (EUGENE MISSION WOMEN)	CFS
ASSIST PUBLIC- POLICE	96
TRANSPORT	82
DISORDERLY SUBJECT	10
CHECK WELFARE	9
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	5
ALL OTHER	27
Total	229

101 W 5TH AVE: (LCAC)	CFS
ASSIST PUBLIC- POLICE	308
TRANSPORT	51
LOCATION WANTED SUBJECT	28
FOLLOW UP	8
WARRANT SERVICE	8
ALL OTHER	64
Total	467

450 HWY 99N: (EUGENE SERVICE STATION)	CFS
TRANSPORT	108
ASSIST PUBLIC- POLICE	16
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	10
THEFT	10
DISPUTE	8
ALL OTHER	46
Total	198

605 W 4TH AVE: (BUCKLEY DETOX)	CFS
TRANSPORT	121
ASSIST PUBLIC- POLICE	81
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	30
DISORDERLY SUBJECT	27
CHECK WELFARE	10
ALL OTHER	52
Total	321

PROTECT.SERVE.CARE.



The End

America's Safest City

The Most Professional Agency